



A Conversation With

David Siino, CFA, CAIA
Managing Director,
Portfolio Manager and
Senior Research Analyst,
TD Epoch

Corporate Spending and the Push from AI

What is the current state of corporate spending?

Corporate spending has been on the rise in 2024 and we could not have a conversation about corporate spending right now without mentioning artificial intelligence (AI). There has been no greater driver of the increase in corporate spending of late than AI. Contrary to what some might think, those assets flow through multiple sectors, not just tech. First and foremost, within tech, the spending has been largely focused on the data centers required to operate AI. Each of the Big Four tech companies laid out plans earlier this year to spend upwards of \$50 billion per year on CapEx, with the lion's share of that going towards AI data centers and high-performance computing. Some of it is at the expense of traditional data center investing, which they had been spending on heavily in recent years, but much of it is truly growth CapEx. In other words, this is money that

would not have been spent otherwise, were it not for the massive wave of interest in AI.

The interest in AI is driving spending throughout the supply chains. A portion of the investment is going toward tangible assets, such as building construction and graphics processing units (GPUs). Producing these GPUs themselves requires significant investment in equipment and materials such as semiconductors, thereby driving further spending, not only in the United States, but also globally. When you look at the amount of money being invested in capital equipment (semiconductor fabrication equipment) it is evident that a notoriously cyclical business has turned into one that's more of a secular growth business. When memory prices drop, like they did in 2023 and 2024, there would typically be a significant drop in CapEx on new semiconductor equipment, but recently this did not happen. The change has been driven by the demand for AI and other leading edge chips.

Outside of technology, what other sectors are being impacted?

A number of non-technology sectors are seeing increased corporate spending driven by AI. One leading example is the energy sector. AI and all things related require large amounts of energy, driving a demand surge in electricity, as discussed in our April 2024 paper **AI and Electricity Demand: The Very Hungry Caterpillar**. This has driven an increase in spending on electricity, but it has also spurred investment in the search for sustainable and renewable energy sources. Of course, AI is not the only driver of that spending, but it is a major factor. This spending is also being encouraged by some fiscal largesse, particularly in the U.S. but also in places like the EU and Japan, where a lot of government grants and loan guarantees have been offered.

We are also seeing the impact rise in some unexpected segments, including transformers. Not Optimus Prime and Megatron, but the cylindrical objects on telephone poles and even larger ones at electrical substations. There is a huge demand for transformers because they enable the distribution of power to the AI data centers. These data centers consume roughly 2% of the electricity in the U.S. today, and are estimated to consume 10% by 2030. That requires a lot more incremental investment in power transmission equipment, including transformers.

Another example is within the consulting sector. Many companies across a variety of industries understand there will be widespread applications of AI and are trying to determine how it might help their businesses. Not fully knowing what to do with the emerging trend, these companies seek consultants to help guide them in understanding where to allocate spending, what areas to explore and what software to invest in.

In pharmaceuticals and biotech, companies have already been spending on AI. Health care, especially in the U.S., tends to be inefficient, slow and expensive, which makes it a good candidate for AI productivity enhancements. For example, looking at a particular hospital operator, their doctors and nurses spend 30% to 50% of their time on administrative activities. The company is now investing in AI tools to reduce this burden. Applying AI to diagnostic imaging is another area in which some of the companies that we consider for investment are investing. Lastly, some pharmaceutical companies have partnered with tech companies to use AI to identify new drug candidates.

How have higher interest rates impacted that spending/how might expected falling rates change that?

Interest rates have more impact on speculative investing than fundamental investing. When I say speculative, I am talking largely about private equity, as higher interest rates always impact both entries and exits by these investors. If you think of the latest U.S. Federal Reserve rate raising cycle that just ended, you will see higher rates have had no discernible impact on investment. Debt financing is cheaper than equity financing, assuming you don't take on too much of it. The Fed increasing rates from 0.25% to 5.25% did not impact investing by businesses that would have done it anyway, because they are not borrowing for the spending. These companies are often using the free cash flow generated by the business to reinvest. There are some businesses that do rely on leverage to sustain themselves, like commercial real estate, but even there, companies can still access the capital markets at non-threatening interest rates levels. In terms of the companies we prefer, they are generally more sensitive to their return on capital than they are to their cost of capital. Ultimately, the return on capital that a true quality business can generate is well in excess of its cost of capital, so a company that is generating free cash flow is much less sensitive to the whims of the capital markets as expressed by interest rates.

Has inflation altered corporate spending?

The short answer is no. As we wrote in our 2023 paper **Can Quality Stand Up to Inflation?** Higher inflation almost certainly leads to higher nominal cost of capital, but that effect may be muted, especially for companies with little or no debt. One reason why inflation does not have a larger effect is because companies know when they spend today at an inflated price, their earnings will be impacted for years to come because of depreciation schedules, but earnings will likely also rise because of inflation. So, companies continue investing and, as long as they earn a return on capital that is higher than their cost of capital, that investment would still be beneficial. In addition, many quality companies have pricing power and pass along some of the increased cost to customers without hurting earnings. Other quality companies, can still earn fabulous returns without passing the cost on to the consumer. This illustrates that quality companies can weather inflation without altering their spending plans.

What is the outlook for the return on all of this spending?

It is unclear. The AI tools themselves are particularly valuable when doing research or seeking any sort of knowledge, but they have not yet cracked the code as far as making money and turning the platforms into commercial business models. There are a number of AI search platforms, but we do not yet see the ad spending alongside of it that would enhance the return on investment (ROI) related to all of these capital expenditures. AI is still largely a cost center for the companies investing in it. For the more immediate parts of the supply chain, like GPUs, the outlook is a little clearer as we can measure the ROI for selling the next unit. In the renewable energy consulting and pharmaceutical spaces, again, what the ultimate ROI is going to be on this spending remains to be seen. But we cannot deny that the money is flowing and we have to be mindful of it in our investment analysis.

Looking at the advent of the internet shows us that we might not yet have all of the information we need to properly judge the outlook. For example, the internet became widely used in the 1990's, but it was taking shape well before then. Today's leading streaming company was launched in 1997 as a DVD rental business. It did not start streaming until 2007; that would not have been possible without high-speed internet. So, we did not see all of the benefits of the CapEx invested in the late 1990s and early 2000s on the fiber that made high-speed internet possible until years later. Another example is internet search engines. They began being used by the public in the early 1990s, but today's dominant force in search did not rise to prominence until nearly a decade later. It was impossible, therefore, in 1994 to know who the ultimate winner of the search engine competition would be. Given that we do not have all of the information to extend the outlook too far forward, we have to focus instead on what we do know.

How does TD Epoch analyze a company's spending?

First, we look for companies that are generating free cash flow. In other words, they are making money today. We then look at how the company uses that free cash flow. We believe that if a company can earn a return on invested capital (ROIC) that is higher than its weighted average cost of capital (WACC), whether be through reinvesting internally or externally via acquisitions, it should. There is no better way to increase the value of a company than through internal investment that earns an ROIC that is higher than its WACC. Any free cash flow that cannot earn that return should be paid out to shareholders through cash dividends, share buybacks or debt reduction.

One way to analyze whether a company's spending will earn a high ROIC is to look at its history. That is because a high ROIC tends to persist over time. For example, looking at the companies in the Russell 3000 Index over the period between 2000 and 2023 we see that more than 90% of those companies in the top two quintiles ranked by their ROIC in one year remained in the top two quintiles the next year. There are many reasons for that, but among them are the company's economic moats and the quality of its management. When a company earns high returns on capital, that normally attracts competition, because other companies look at those high returns and want to get in on the action. So, when we talk about a moat, we mean something the company has – whether it is a unique product, a powerful brand, or legal protections (patents) – that makes it hard for competitors to capture that company's customers. This helps them to continue to generate free cash flow. When a company has a management team that has shown a proficiency in making investments that add value, by earning an ROIC that is higher than WACC, it is likely that they will continue to make good capital allocation decisions in the future. As an investment team, we will continue to analyze today's corporate spending through this lens.



For institutional investors only. TD Global Investment Solutions represents TD Asset Management Inc. ("TDAM") and Epoch Investment Partners, Inc. ("TD Epoch"). TDAM and TD Epoch are affiliates and wholly owned subsidiaries of The Toronto-Dominion Bank. ©The TD logo and other TD trademarks are the property of The Toronto-Dominion Bank or its subsidiaries. The information contained herein is distributed for informational purposes only and should not be considered investment advice or a recommendation of any particular security, strategy or investment product. The information is distributed with the understanding that the recipient has sufficient knowledge and experience to be able to understand and make their own evaluation of the proposals and services described herein as well as any risks associated with such proposal or services. Nothing in this presentation constitutes legal, tax, or accounting advice. Information contained herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but not guaranteed. Certain information provided herein is based on third-party sources, and although believed to be accurate, has not been independently verified. Except as otherwise specified herein, TD Epoch is the source of all information contained in this document. TD Epoch assumes no liability for errors and omissions in the information contained herein. TD Epoch believes the information contained herein is accurate as of the date produced and submitted, but is subject to change. No assurance is made as to its continued accuracy after such date and TD Epoch has no obligation to any recipient of this document to update any of the information provided herein. No portion of this material may be copied, reproduced, republished or distributed in any way without the express written consent of TD Epoch.

Past Performance: Any performance information referenced represents past performance and is not indicative of future returns. There is no guarantee that the investment objectives will be achieved. To the extent the material presented contains information about specific companies or securities including whether they are profitable or not, they are being provided as a means of illustrating our investment thesis. Each security discussed has been selected solely for this purpose and has not been selected on the basis of performance or any performance-related criteria. Past references to specific companies or securities are not a complete list of securities selected for clients and not all securities selected for clients in the past year were profitable. The securities discussed herein may not represent an entire portfolio and in the aggregate may only represent a small percentage of a clients holdings. Clients' portfolios are actively managed and securities discussed may or may not be held in such portfolios at any given time. **Projected or Targeted Performance:** Any projections, targets, or estimates in this presentation are forward-looking statements and are based on TD Epoch's research, analysis, and its capital markets assumptions. There can be no assurances that such projections, targets, or estimates will occur and the actual results may be materially different. Additional information about capital markets assumptions is available upon request. Other events which were not taken into account in formulating such projections, targets, or estimates may occur and may significantly affect the returns or performance of any accounts and/or funds managed by TD Epoch.

Projected or Targeted Performance: Any projections, targets, or estimates in this presentation are forward-looking statements and are based on TD Epoch's research, analysis, and its capital markets assumptions. There can be no assurances that such projections, targets, or estimates will occur and the actual results may be materially different. Additional information about capital markets assumptions is available upon request. Other events which were not taken into account in formulating such projections, targets, or estimates may occur and may significantly affect the returns or performance of any accounts and/or funds managed by TD Epoch.

TD Epoch's approach to ESG is rooted in our belief that the consideration of ESG factors complements traditional financial analysis. As set forth in our ESG Policy, our ESG approach is aligned with the firm's investment philosophy and integrated into our investment analysis process and investment professionals generally will take ESG issues into consideration, as appropriate, when making investment decisions, subject to Epoch's investment strategy objectives, clients' investment guidelines and applicable laws. Examples of how Epoch's investment professionals may incorporate ESG considerations in their research and company engagements are set forth in Epoch's ESG Policy.

The use of ESG factors in the investment process could result in selling or avoiding investments that subsequently perform well or purchasing investments that subsequently underperform. Epoch uses proprietary, internal research and analysis, and also subscribes to various independent third party research providers that provide corporate ESG research. Epoch is generally dependent upon information and data obtained through third-party reporting that may be incomplete, inaccurate or unavailable, which could cause Epoch to incorrectly assess a company's ESG practices. We recognize there is regulatory uncertainty and scrutiny relating to ESG, that require Epoch to make judgments concerning how the firm will comply before industry best practices have been developed. Accordingly, Epoch's ESG Policy and related procedures will change as the firm's judgments concerning its regulatory obligations change.

Non-US Jurisdictions: This information is only intended for use in jurisdictions where its distribution or availability is consistent with local laws or regulations.

Australia: Epoch Investment Partners, Inc. (ABRN: 636409320) holds an Australian Financial Services Licence (AFS Licence No: 530587). The information contained herein is intended for wholesale clients and investors only as defined in the Corporations Act of 2001.

United Kingdom: Epoch Investment Partners UK, LTD is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority of the United Kingdom (Firm Reference Number: 715988).

South Africa: Epoch Investment Partners, Inc. is a licensed Financial Services Provider (license number 46621) with the Financial Sector Conduct Authority.